

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,082	04/19/2000	GERHARD WYDRA	P-00.0001	1453
7	590 11/07/2002			
SCHIFF HAF	RDIN & WATE		EXAMI	NER
SCHIFF HARDIN & WATE Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower	BARR, MICHAEL E			
233 South Wacker Drive CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100,12			1762	24
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	J 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comme	09/485,082	WYDRA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Barr	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may  within the statutory minimum of to  fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	October 2002 .	
	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) $1-3.8$ and $10-25$ is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8 and 10-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	Application No
<ul> <li>3.</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)  The translation of the foreign language prov  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has t	een received.
ttachment(s)	, 200, 2000	- 55 120 GHW 01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actie	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 24

Application/Control Number: 09/485,082

Art Unit: 1762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/22/02 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 10/22/02, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. Claims 1-3, 8, and 10-25 are pending.

The applicant has argued the references do not teach the claimed diffusion, strengthening, joining, and compacting of the slip layer during the aluminizing. The examiner is not persuaded by the applicant's arguments. Olson et al. teaches that the aluminizing step includes diffusion into the MCrAlY layer, which strengthens the layer (Col. 4, lines 9-45). Furthermore, the diffusion in Olson et al. would have compacted the MCrAlY layer, as the layer would be less porous. Therefore, the teachings of Olson et al. read on the claimed diffusion, strengthening, joining, and compacting of the slip layer during the aluminizing.

The applicant further argues that the references does not teach the heat treatment of Claim 21 causing a union of the layer with the component. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 1762

The Rigney reference teaches that the heat treatment diffuses the MCrAIY slurry layer into the superalloy substrate (Col. 5, lines 5-33). This reads on the claimed union.

The remainder of the applicant's arguments have already been addressed by the examiner in previous office actions. The examiner is maintaining his position on these matters.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8, and 10-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al. in view of Rigney and GB 2269393 by Floge et al. ("Floge").

Olson et al., Rigney, and Floge are applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 3 of the previous office action, No. 17, mailed 5/22/02.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,082

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 or 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

MB

November 5, 2002